

MORE ÉTALE COVERS OF AFFINE SPACES IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

KIRAN S. KEDLAYA

ABSTRACT. We prove that every geometrically reduced projective variety of pure dimension n over a field of positive characteristic admits a morphism to projective n -space, étale away from the hyperplane H at infinity, which maps a chosen divisor into H and some chosen smooth points not on the divisor to points not in H . This improves an earlier result of the author, which was restricted to infinite perfect fields. We also prove a related result that controls the behavior of divisors through the chosen point.

1. RESULTS

We prove the following theorem, which improves upon the main result of [K] by eliminating the hypothesis that k be infinite and perfect. (The case $n = 1$ seems to have become a folk theorem, but we believe it is originally due to Abhyankar.)

Theorem 1. *Let X be a geometrically reduced, projective variety of pure dimension n over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle of X , let D be a closed subscheme of X of dimension less than n , and let S be a zero-dimensional subscheme of the smooth locus of X not meeting D . Then there exists a finite morphism $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$ of k -schemes satisfying the following conditions:*

- (a) *f is induced by an $(n+1)$ -tuple of sections of some tensor power of $\mathcal{L}$;*
- (b) *f is étale away from the hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ at infinity;*
- (c) *$f(D) \subseteq H$;*
- (d) *$f(S)$ does not meet H .*

Note that the hypothesis that X is geometrically reduced is needed for each irreducible component of X to be generically smooth, as any scheme admitting a generically étale map to a smooth scheme must be.

Date: December 14, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14E20; Secondary 14B25.

Supported by a National Science Foundation postdoctoral fellowship. Thanks to Bas Edixhoven and Bjorn Poonen for helpful discussions.

Theorem 1 implies in particular that the smooth locus of X is covered by open affines which admit finite étale morphisms to $\mathbb{A}^n$. We can also prove a refinement of this corollary.

Theorem 2. *Let X be a separated scheme of finite type over k (still of characteristic $p > 0$) of pure dimension n , let x be a smooth point of X , and let $D_1, \dots, D_m$ be irreducible divisors of X intersecting transversely at x . Then there exists a finite étale morphism $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ for U some open dense subset of X , defined over k and containing x , such that $D_1, \dots, D_m$ map to coordinate hyperplanes.*

Note that one cannot expect f to extend to a finite morphism from X to $\mathbb{P}^n$, especially at points where the D_i have nontransverse intersections.

2. REVIEW OF NOETHER NORMALIZATION

Before proving the theorems, we review Noether normalization and prove a strong form of it for our purposes. Most of these results are evident when k is infinite; the care is required in handling k finite, since “generic” constructions are not available.

We first point out a simple fact that will come up repeatedly in what follows: if X is a projective variety and $\mathcal{L}$ is an ample line bundle on X , then the complement of the zero locus of any section of $\mathcal{L}$ is an affine scheme.

Lemma 3. *Let X be a projective scheme over a field k of dimension n , and let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on X . Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ admits linearly independent sections $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ whose zero loci have no common intersection. Then the α_i induce a finite map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$.*

Proof. The α_i clearly induce a map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$; all that needs to be checked is that the map is finite. Let $t_0, \dots, t_n$ be homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^n$ which pull back to $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ on X . Given a geometric point x of $\mathbb{P}^n$, choose i such that t_i is nonzero at x . Then on one hand, the fibre of x is projective over x , since it is the common zero locus of certain sections of $\mathcal{L}$ and so is closed in X . On the other hand, the fibre of x is affine over x , since it is contained in the affine subset of X on which t_i does not vanish. Hence the fibre of x is finite over x , i.e., f is quasi-finite. Moreover, f is also proper (because any map between proper k -schemes is itself proper), so f is finite. $\square$

In the next few lemmas, we adopt the following convention. We say that a statement about a positive integer n is true “for n sufficiently

divisible” if it holds whenever n is divisible by some (unspecified) positive integer. In particular, any statement that holds “for n sufficiently large” also holds “for n sufficiently divisible”.

Lemma 4. *Let X be a projective scheme over a field k , and let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on X . Let D be a closed subscheme of X , and let Z be a zero-dimensional closed subscheme of X not meeting D . Then for l sufficiently divisible, there is a section of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes l}$ vanishing along D but not vanishing at any point of Z .*

Proof. For any sufficiently large a , $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes a}$ admits a section s_0 not vanishing at any point of Z . (Namely, this occurs when $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes a}$ is very ample and has at least $\deg(Z) + 1$ linearly independent sections.) Since the complement of the zero locus of s_0 is affine, it admits a regular function vanishing along D but not on S . That function has the form $s_1 s_0^{-b}$ for some positive integer b ; then for l divisible by ab , the section $s_1^{\otimes l/(ab)}$ of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes l}$ has the desired property. $\square$

Lemma 5. *Let X be a projective scheme over a field k of dimension n , and let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on X . Let S be a zero-dimensional subscheme of X , and let D be a closed subscheme of X not meeting S . Suppose that $0 \leq m \leq n$ and that $D_1, \dots, D_m$ are divisors on X , such that for any nonempty subset T of $\{1, \dots, m\}$, the intersection $D \cap \bigcap_{t \in T} D_t$ has codimension in D at least $\#T$. Then for l sufficiently divisible, there exist sections $s_1, \dots, s_m$ of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes l}$ with no common zero on D , such that each s_i vanishes on S , and s_i vanishes along D_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$.*

Here we take the codimension of the empty scheme in any other scheme to be $+\infty$.

Proof. We construct the desired sections inductively as follows. Suppose that for $0 \leq j < n$, there exist positive integers l_i and sections s'_i of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes l_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, j$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (a) Each s'_i vanishes on S .
- (b) If $i \leq \min\{j, m\}$, then s'_i vanishes along D_i .
- (c) For any subset T of $\{j+1, \dots, m\}$ (which is the empty set if $j > m$), the intersection $Y_{j,T}$ of D , the zero loci of $s'_1, \dots, s'_j$, and the D_t for $t \in T$, has codimension in D at least $j + \#T$.

For instance, this is true by hypothesis for $j = 0$.

With the s'_i as above, let Z_j be a zero-dimensional subscheme of $D \setminus D_{j+1}$ meeting each irreducible component of $Y_{j,T}$ having codimension $j + \#T$ in D , for each subset T of $\{j+2, \dots, m\}$. (By (c), none of these components is actually contained in $D \cap D_{j+1}$, so a suitable Z_j can be

found.) For l_{j+1} sufficiently divisible, we can then find by Lemma 4 a section s'_{j+1} of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes l_{j+1}}$ vanishing along $S \cup D_{j+1}$ but not vanishing at any point of Z_j . Then conditions (a), (b), (c) are satisfied with j replaced by $j+1$.

By induction, we can satisfy (a), (b), (c) with $j = n$. Let m be the least common multiple of the l_i ; then for any l divisible by m , the sections $s_i = (s'_i)^{l/l_i}$ of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes l}$ have the desired properties. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Let X be a geometrically reduced projective scheme over a field k of pure dimension n , and let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on X . Let S be a zero-dimensional subscheme of the smooth locus of X , and let α be a section of $\mathcal{L}$ whose zero locus D does not meet S . Then for l sufficiently divisible, there exist sections $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes l}$ satisfying the following conditions.*

- (a) *The δ_i have no common zero on D , so that $\alpha^l, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ define a finite morphism $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ by Lemma 3.*
- (b) *The map f is unramified at each point of S .*

If moreover $D_1, \dots, D_m$ are divisors as in Lemma 5, which additionally meet transversely at each point of S , then we can also ensure that δ_i vanishes along D_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is ample, $U = X \setminus D$ is affine. Since X is smooth and the D_i meet transversely at each point of S , we can find regular functions $f_1, \dots, f_n$ on U , with f_i vanishing along D_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$, which induce a map $U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ unramified at each point of S . (Besides the vanishing conditions along the D_i , we need only ensure that $f_1, \dots, f_n$ span the cotangent space of X at each point of S .) Write each f_i as β_i / α^{j_i} for some positive integer j_i and some section β_i of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes j_i}$.

By Lemma 5, we can choose sections $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes l}$, for l sufficiently divisible, such that each γ_i vanishes on S , γ_i vanishes along D_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ have no common zero on D . Now put

$$\delta_i = \beta_i \alpha^{l-2j_i} + \gamma_i^2 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then the δ_i have the desired properties. $\square$

3. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

We now proceed to the proofs of the theorems. As noted above, Theorem 1 was established for k infinite and perfect in [K]. However, the proof below is not only more widely applicable but also somewhat simpler. This is because we take advantage of a more flexible construction. The basic idea is that adding a p -th power to a function does not change its ramification on the source, but does move things around on the target.

Proof of Theorem 1. We may enlarge S so that it meets each irreducible component of X . By replacing $\mathcal{L}$ by a suitable tensor power, we may also assume (thanks to Lemma 4) that $\mathcal{L}$ admits a section s vanishing on D but not at any point of S . For some integer m , by Lemma 6 we can find sections $s_1, \dots, s_n$ of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ such that:

- (a) The sections $s_1, \dots, s_n$ have no common zero on D , so that $s^m, s_1, \dots, s_n$ induce a finite morphism $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ by Lemma 3.
- (b) The map g is unramified at each point of S .

The locus on $X \setminus D$ where g is unramified is open, and its intersection with each irreducible component of X is nonempty (since g is unramified on S); let E be its complement in X . For some positive integer r , by Lemma 4 we can find a section t of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes rm}$ which vanishes on E but not at any point of S . By Lemma 5, we can find a positive integer $l \geq 2$ and sections $t_1, \dots, t_n$ of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes lrm}$ which have no common zero on the vanishing locus Z of t . Now put

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= t^{pl} \\ u_i &= s_i s^{m(pr-1)} t^{p(l-1)} + t_i^p \quad (i = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Then $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n$ have no common zero, so they define a finite morphism $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ by Lemma 3.

For a point $y \in X \setminus Z$, the map g is unramified at y ; in other words, the differentials of the functions $s_1/s^m, \dots, s_n/s^m$ at y are linearly independent. But after multiplication by $(s^{rm}/t)^p$, these become precisely the differentials of the functions $u_1/u_0, \dots, u_n/u_0$. Hence f is unramified at y . That is, f is étale over the complement of the hyperplane $u_0 = 0$, and D maps into this hyperplane but no point of S does. Thus we have constructed the desired map. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 2 is similar, but we must do some blowing up first.

Proof of Theorem 2. Since we can replace X by a projective compactification of an affine open neighborhood of x , it suffices to consider X irreducible and projective. Moreover, by blowing up away from x , we can ensure that the intersection of any subset of the D_i is irreducible.

Choose an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on X . We may now repeat the argument of Theorem 1 with $S = \{x\}$, while imposing the additional restriction that s_i and t_i both vanish along D_i . The condition of Lemma 5 holds because for any subset $T \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$, the intersection $\cap_{t \in T} D_t$ has been arranged to be irreducible, and does not lie in D or Z because it contains x . $\square$

REFERENCES

- [K] K.S. Kedlaya, Étale covers of affine spaces in positive characteristic, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **335** (2002), 921–926.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROOM 2-165, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY, 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139

E-mail address: `kedlaya@mit.edu`

URL: `www-math.mit.edu/~kedlaya`